



PART II

An All India Report on the Evaluation of Backward Regions Grant Fund (BRGF)



Submitted to

The Ministry of Panchayati Raj (MoPR), Government of India



Centre for Rural Management (CRM), Kottayam, Kerala



An All India Report on the Evaluation of Backward Regions Grant Fund (BRGF)

Submitted to

The Ministry of Panchayati Raj (MoPR), Government of India

Centre for Rural Management (CRM), Kottayam, Kerala

An All India Report on the Evaluation of Backward Regions Grant Fund (BRGF)

CONTENT

Chapter 1 to 4	(Part. No.1)	01-437
TABLES		438-885
State Wise Calculation Tables of Performance Index (Separate Report)		
ANNEXURE I		886-903
Methodology for Calculation of Performance Index (Separate Report)		

State Wise Calculation Tables of Performance Index

An Assessment of the Extent to which the Objectives of BRGF have been fulfilled

1.Andhra Pradesh

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Andhra Pradesh to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.1.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100.00	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100.00	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5

4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	445/468*100=95.09	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/468*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	123/468*100=26.28	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/468*100=0	0	5

9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	140/140*100=100	5	5
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	70/70*100=100	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	3/70*100=4.29	1	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1

17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Total		51	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Andhra Pradesh .

The State of Andhra Pradesh has scored 51 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (51) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 51

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation =
$$\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 51/71 * 2.5 = \underline{\underline{1.80}}$$

Table No.3.1.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	8	5	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subject s - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS &ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	14/14*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			

5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100.00	5	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.71	5	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	12/14*100=85.71	5	5

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	119/140*100=85.00	5	5

16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	3/140*100=2.14	2		
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 70Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	36/70*100=51.43	5	5	
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 70 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	29/70*100=41.43	4	5	
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	0/70*100=0	5	5	
	Total		77	100	

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Andhra Pradesh.

The State of Andhra Pradesh has scored 77 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (77) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =77

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{77}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.93}}$$

Table No. 3.1.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District only 1/1*100=100	5	5
		8/14*100=57.14	4	
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			5

3.	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.			
4.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.			
5.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.			
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100.00	5	5
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.			

7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No – 0Mark</i>	Yes	1	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No-- 0Mark</i>	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No – 0Mark</i>	Yes	1	1
	Total		17	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Andhra Pradesh.

The State of Andhra Pradesh has scored 17 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (17) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 17

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 17/33*2.5

= **1.29**

Table No.3.1.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	12/14*100=85.7 1	5	5

6	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	14/14*100=100	5	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
9	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	14/14*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	13/14*100=92.86	5	5

11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>50-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-50% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5

17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
20	Per centage of Projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.7 1	5	5
21	Per centage of School Projects for School Building >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
Total			68	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Andhra Pradesh

The State of Andhra Pradesh has scored 68 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (68) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 68

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= $68/105 \times 2.5$

= 1.62

2. Arunachal Pradesh

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Arunachal Pradesh to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.2.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/12*100=50	4	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/12*100=41.67	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/12*100=0	0	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	62/62*100=100.00	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/62*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	62/62*100=100	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/62*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	112/120*100=93.33	5	5

10.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	57/60*100=95	5	
11.	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			5
	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	0/60*100=0	0	
12.	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
13.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
14.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
15.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
16.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
17.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
18.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	PDS Projects	No	0	1
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	No	0	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	Yes	1	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			38	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Arunachal Pradesh.

The State of Arunachal Pradesh has scored 38 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (38) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 38

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 38/71 * 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.34}}$$

Table No.3.2.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	1	1	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2	2	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/12*100=50	4	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/12*100=100	5	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/12*100=0	0	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/12*100=41.67	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of	0/120*100=0	0	5

	120Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 120 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	0/120*100=0	0	
				5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 60 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	2/60*100=3.33	1	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 60Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	37/60*100=61.67	5	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	10/60*100=16.67	5	5
	Total		26	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Arunachal Pradesh.

The State of Arunachal Pradesh has scored 26 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (26) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =26

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{26}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.65}}$$

Table No. 3.2.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 Districts 1/1*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>	0/12*100=0	0	

3.	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/12*100=0	0	5
4.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/12*100=0	0	5
5.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/12*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	0/12*100=0.00	0	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	No	0	1

8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
Total			5	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Arunachal Pradesh.

The State of Arunachal Pradesh has scored 5 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (5) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 5

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 5/33*2.5

=0.38

Table No.3.2.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/12*100=100	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/12*100=50	4	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	0/12*100=0	0	5

6	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	5/12*100=41.67	3	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/12*100=0	0	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	0/12*100=0	0	5
9	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	0/12*100=0	0	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/12*100=0	0	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	8/12*100=66.67	4	5

12	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
15	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5

18	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	0/12*100=0	0	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/12*100=0	0	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	11/12*100=91.67	5	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	4/12*100=33.33	3	5
Total			24	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Arunachal Pradesh

The State of Arunachal Pradesh has scored 24 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (24) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 24

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 24/105 * 2.5

= 0.57

3.Assam

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Assam to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.3.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.1 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/26*100=69.23	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/26*100=76.92	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	8/26*100=30.77	3	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	514/520*100=98.85	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/520*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	48/520*100=9.23	2	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	1/520*100=0.19	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	236/260*100=90.77	5	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	130/130*100=100.00	5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	1/130*100=0.76	0	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
18.	PDS Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects Yes- 1 Mark	Yes	1	1

20.	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Total		47	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Assam.

The State of Assam has scored 47 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 47

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 47/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.65}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.3.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- c) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- d) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.A1.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	Above 10	6	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			

	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	18/26*100=69.23	4		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/26*100=53.85	4		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	20/26*100=76.92	5		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	21/26*100=80.77	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	13/26*100=50	4		5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/26*100=76.92	5	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/26*100=30.77	3	5

13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/26*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/26*100=50	4	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 260 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	177/260*100=68.08	4	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 260 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	107/260*100=41.15	5	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 130 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	10/130*100=7.69	1	5

18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 130 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	70/130*100=53.85	5	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	34/130*100=26.15	4	5
Total			77	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Assam.

The State of Assam has scored 77 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (77) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =77

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=77/100*2.5

=1.93

Table No. 3.3.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	2 Districts 2/2*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>	0/26*100=0	0	5

	<1	-0 Mark		
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> .	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
	Total		13	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Assam.

The State of Assam has scored 13 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (13) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 13

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Minimum Marks

= 13/33*2.5

=0.98

Table No.3.3.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/26*100=69.23	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/26*100=80.77	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/26*100=76.92	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	12/26*100=46.15	3	5

6	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	8/26*100=30.77	3	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	13/26*100=50	4	5
9	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	13/26*100=50	4	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	12/26*100=46.15	3	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	1/26*100=3.84	1	5

12	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/26*100=3.84	1	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	3/26*100=11.54	2	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	7/26*100=26.92	3	5
15	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	0/26*100=0.00	0	5

18	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/26*100=0.00	0	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	22/26*100=84.62	5	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	4/26*100=15.38	2	5
Total			51	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Assam

The State of Assam has scored 51 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (51) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 51

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 51/105 * 2.5

= 1.21

4.Bihar

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of *Bihar* to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.4.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	30/54*100=55.56	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	32/54*100=59.26	4	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	3/54*100=5.56	1	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1851/1852*100=99.95	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/1852*100=0.00	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	33/1852*100=1.78	1	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/1852*100=0.00	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	524/540*100=97.04	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	270/270*100=100.00	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	0/270*100=0.00	0	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Total		37	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of **Bihar**

The State of Bihar has scored 37 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (37) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 37

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 37/71 * 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.30}}$$

Table No. 3.4.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	1	1	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2-3 Subjects	2	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			

	08-10 Subject 5 Marks >10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	30/54*100=55.56	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	27/54*100=50.00	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	32/54*100=59.26	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	33/54*100=61.11	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	11/54*100=20.37	2	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks			5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/54*100=50.00	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/54*100=5.56	1	5

13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/54*100=1.85	1	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/54*100=27.78	3	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 540 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	320/540*100=59.26	4	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 540 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	20/540*100=3.70	2	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 270 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark	57/270*100=21.11	3	5

	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 270 Verified Assets/Works)	131/270*100=48.52	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	56/270*100=20.74	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		54	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Bihar.

The State of Bihar has scored 54 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (54) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =54

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{54}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.35}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.4.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	4 Districts Only 4/4*100=100.00	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	3/54*100=5.56	1	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	2/54*100=3.70	1	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	1/54*100=1.85	1	5
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>	3/54*100=5.56	1	5

5.	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/54*100=24.07	2	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	No	0	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
	Total		13	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Bihar

The State of Bihar has scored 13 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (13) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =13

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 13/33*2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.98}}$$

Table No. 3.4.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	30/54*100=55.56	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	33/54*100=61.11	4	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	32/54*100=59.26	4	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	9/54*100=16.67	2	5

6	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	9/54*100=16.67	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	3/54*100=5.56	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	15/54*100=27.78	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	54/54*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	8/54*100=14.81	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	20/54*100=37.04	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

12	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	25/54*100=46.30	3	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	30/54*100=55.56	4	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/54*100=0.00	0	5
15	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/54*100=0.00	0	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	0/54*100=0.00	0	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	0/54*100=0.00	0	5

18	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	0/54*100=0.00	0	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/54*100=0	0	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	53/54*100=98.15	5	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	4/54*100=7.41	1	5
Total			45	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of **Bihar**

The State of Bihar has scored 45 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (45) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 45

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

= 45/105 * 2.5

= 1.07

5.Chhattisgarh

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Chhattisgarh to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.5.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	12/28*100=42.86	3	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	370/373*100=99.20	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/373*100=0.00	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	22/373*100=5.90	2	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively) for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	14/373*100=3.75	1	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	280/280*100=100.00	5	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	133/133*100=100.00	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	11/133*100=8.27	2	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
18.	PDS Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1

19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
Total			53	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Chhattisgarh

The State of *Chhattisgarh* has scored 53 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (52) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 53

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 53/71 \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.87}}$$

Table No. 3.5.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	4 Years	4	9
	<i>1 Year - 1 Mark</i>			
	<i>2 Years- 2 Marks</i>			
	<i>3 Years- 3 Marks</i>			
	<i>4 years - 4 Marks</i>			
	<i>5 Years- 5 Marks</i>			
	<i>6 Years- 6 Marks</i>			
	<i>7 Years- 7 Marks</i>			
	<i>8 Years- 8 Marks</i>			
	<i>9 Years- 9 Marks</i>			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	5-7 Subjects	4	6
	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i>			
	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i>			
	<i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i>			

	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	12/28*100=42.86	3	5

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	215/280*100=76.79	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	0/280*100=0.00	0	
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 133 Verified Assets/Works)	20/133*100=15.04	2	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark			5

	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. . (out of the 133 Verified Assets/Works)	66/133*100=49.62	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	26/133*100=19.55	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		76	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Chhattisgarh

The State of Chhattisgarh has scored 71 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (71) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =76

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=76/100*2.5

=**1.90**

Table No. 3.5.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	2 Districts only $2/2*100=100.00$	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	$28/28*100=100.00$	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	$0/28*100=0$	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	$0/28*100=0$	0	5

5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> .	26/28*100=92.86	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			1
Total			17	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Chhattisgarh

The State of *Chhattisgarh* has scored 17 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (17) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 17 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{17}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.29}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.5.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha	28/28*100=100	5	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	12/28*100=42.86	3	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

9	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	16/28*100=57.14	4	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/28*100=0.00	0	
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	4/28*100=14.29	2	
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	6/28*100=21.43	2	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	4/28*100=14.29	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
17	Per centage of Health Projects.	3/28*100=10.71	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
19	Per centage of Burial Projects.	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
20	Per centage of projects for Roads	8/28*100=28.57	3	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			5

21	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	8/28*100=28.57	3	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
Total			67	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Chhattisgarh

The State of Chhattisgarh has scored 67 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (67) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 67

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=67/105*2.5

=**1.60**

6.Gujarat

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Gujarat to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.6.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/27*100=44.44	3	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/27*100=37.04	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	9/27*100=33.33	3	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	95/99*100=95.96	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/99*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	43/99*100=43.43	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively) for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/99*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	260/270*100=96.30	5	5

	<1	-0 Mark		
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	84/85*100=98.82	5	5
	<1 -	0 Mark		
	1-5%-	1 Mark		
	>5-10%-	2 Marks		
	>10-15%-	3 Marks		
	>15-20%-	4 Marks		
	More than 20%-	5 Marks		
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	2/85*100=2.35	1	5
	<1 -	0 Mark		
	1-5%-	1 Mark		
	>5-20%-	2 Marks		
	>20-30%-	3 Marks		
	>30-50%-	4 Marks		
	More than 50% -	5 Marks		
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1

23.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			46	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Gujarat

The State of Gujarat has scored 46 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (46) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 46

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{46}{71} * 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.62}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.62: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	Above 10	6	6
3.	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subject s - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
	Per centage of GPS &ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	12/27*100=44.44	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
4.	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	14/27*100=51.85	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/27*100=37.04	3	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/27*100=55.56	4	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/27*100=3.70	1	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	5/27*100=18.52	2	5

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/27*100=7.41	1	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium DevelopmentGoals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/27*100=33.33	3	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/27*100=77.78	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 270 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	133/270*100=49.26	4	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 270 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	15/270*100=5.56	3	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 85 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	14/85*100=16.47	2	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. . (out of the 85 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	56/85*100=65.88	5	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	11/85*100=12.94	5	5
	Total		64	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Gujarat.

The State of Gujarat has scored 64 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (64) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =64

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= \frac{64}{100} \times 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.60}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.6.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District Only 1/2*100=50	2	5
		0/27*100=0.00	0	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			

3.	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.			
4.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.			
5.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/27*100=7.41	1	5
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.			
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/27*100=70.37	4	5
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.			
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?			

8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Total		10	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Gujarat.

The State of Gujarat has scored 10 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 10

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{10}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.76}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.6.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/27*100=44.44	3	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/27*100=55.56	4	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/27*100=37.04	3	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/27*100=18.52	2	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	2/27*100=7.41	1	5

6	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	9/27*100=33.33	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	21/27*100=77.78	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	19/27*100=70.37	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	6/27*100=22.22	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	10/27*100=37.04	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/27*100=3.70	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	<i>>50-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-50% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	6/27*100=22.22	2	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	1/27*100=3.70	1	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0.00	0	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	0/27*100=0.00	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
20	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	11/27*100=40.74	3	5
21	Per centage of School Projects for school building >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/27*100=7.41	1	5
Total			42	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Gujarat

The State of Gujarat has scored 42 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (42) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 42

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=42/105*2.5

=1.00

7.Haryana

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Haryana to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.7.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	108/112*100=96.43	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	4/112*100=3.57	2	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/112*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/112*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	132/140*100=94.29	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	61/70*100=87.14	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks		5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	2/70*100=2.86	1	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks		5	
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	No	0	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			35	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Haryana.

The State of Haryana has scored 35 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (35) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 35

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 35/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.23}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.7. 2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	1	1	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	6/14*100=42.86	3		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	2/14*100=14.29	2		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	6/14*100=42.86	3		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/14*100=100	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was	0/14*100=0	0		5

	conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs & LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	55/140*100=39.29	3	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	6/140*100=4.29	2	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 58 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks	17/70*100=24.29	3	5

	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 58 Verified Assets/Works)	29/70*100=41.43	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	18/70*100=25.71	4	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		49	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Haryana.

The State of Haryana has scored 49marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (49) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =49

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=49/100*2.5

=1.23

Table No. 3.7.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	1 District	5	5
	1/1*100=100			
	<i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>			
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	14/14*100=100	5	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	5

4.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	No	0	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
	Total		17	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Haryana.

The State of Haryana has scored 17 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (17) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 17 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 17/33 \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.29}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.7.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	6/14*100=42.86	3	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	2/14*100=14.29	2	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/14*100=71.43	4	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
20	Per centage of projects for Roads	2/14*100=14.29	2	5

21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	8/14*100=57.14	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Total		46	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Haryana

The State of Haryana has scored 46 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (46) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 46

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=46/105*2.5

=1.10

8.Himachal Pradesh

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Himachal Pradesh to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No3.8.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	347/348*100=99.71	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/348*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/348*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/348*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	138/140*100=98.57	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	70/70*100=100	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	7/70*100=10	2	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			43	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Himachal Pradesh.

The State of *Himachal Pradesh* has scored 43 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (43) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 43

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 43/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.51}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No3.8.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	3	2	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			

	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/14*100=100	5		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/14*100=100	5		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/14*100=100	5		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	14/14*100=100	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	0/14*100=0	0		5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	14/14*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	14/14*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	14/14*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	46/140*100=32.86	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	4/140*100=2.86	2	
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 70 Verified Assets/Works)	24/70*100=34.29	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			

	<i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 70 Verified Assets/Works) <i><1 - 0 Mark</i> <i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>	36/70*100=51.43	5	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year <i>100%- 0 Mark</i> <i>>80-99%- 1 Mark</i> <i>>60-80%- 2 Marks</i> <i>>50-60%- 3 Marks</i> <i>25-50%- 4 Marks</i> <i>Less than 25% -5 Marks</i>	5/70*100=7.14	5	5
	Total		58	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Himachal Pradesh.

The State of Himachal Pradesh has scored 58 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (58) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =58

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{58}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{1.45}$$

Table No3.8.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	<p>Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?</p> <p><i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i></p>	<p>1 Districts</p> <p>1/1*100=100</p>	5	5
2..	<p>Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.</p>	<p>14/14*100=100</p>	5	

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in Plan Plus. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	No	0	1

8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
Total			17	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Himachal Pradesh.

The State of Himachal Pradesh has scored 17 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (17) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 17

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 17/33*2.5

= 1.29

Table No3.8.4:Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	14/14*100=100	5	5

6	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	0/14*100=0	0	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/14*100=0	0	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	14/14*100=100	5	5
9	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	14/14*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5

11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks	1/14*100=7.14	1	5

18	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
19	Per centage of Burial Projects.	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
20	Per centage of projects for Roads	14/14*100=100	5	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
21	Per centage of School Projects for school building	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
Total			62	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Himachal Pradesh

The State of Himachal Pradesh has scored 62marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (62) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 62

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

=62/105*2.5

=1.48

9. Jammu & Kashmir

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Jammu & Kashmir to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.9.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	0/27*100=0	0	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	282/282*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/282*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/282*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively) for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/282*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	106/270*100=39.26	3	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	96/99*100=96.97	5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	2/99*100=2.02	1	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
18.	PDS Projects Yes- 1 Mark	No	0	1

19.	No- 0 Mark			
	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	No-0Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			28	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Jammu & Kashmir.

The State of *Jammu & Kashmir* has scored 28 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (28) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 28

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 28/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{0.99}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.9.2 Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2	2	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0	0		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0	0		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0	0		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/27*100=0	0		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	0/27*100=0	0		5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	27/27*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/27*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 270Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	0/270*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 270 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	0/270*100=0	0	5
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 99 Verified Assets/Works)	27/99*100=27.27	3	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			

	<i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 99 Verified Assets/Works) <i><1 - 0 Mark</i> <i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>	62/99*100=62.63	5	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year <i>100%- 0 Mark</i> <i>>80-99%- 1 Mark</i> <i>>60-80%- 2 Marks</i> <i>>50-60%- 3 Marks</i> <i>25-50%- 4 Marks</i> <i>Less than 25% -5 Marks</i>	0/99*100=0	5	5
	Total		22	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of **Jammu & Kashmir.**

The State of Jammu & Kashmir has scored 22marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (22) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =22

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{22}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.55}}$$

Table No.3.9.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	2 Districts 2/2*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. >75-100%-5 Marks	27/27*100=100	5	

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in Plan Plus. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/27*100=100	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark	No	0	1

8.	No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark			
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
Total			15	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Jammu & Kashmir.

The State of Jammu & Kashmir has scored 15 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (15) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 15

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= 15/33*2.5

=**1.14**

Table No.3.9.1.4:Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=100	0	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/27*100=0	0	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/27*100=100	5	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	0/27*100=0	0	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	3/27*100=11.11	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/27*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	5/27*100=18.52	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$1/27*100=3.70$	1	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$9/27*100=33.33$	3	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$4/27*100=14.81$	2	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$1/27*100=3.70$	1	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$4/27*100=14.81$	2	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	$0/27*100=0$	0	5

18	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	1/27*100=3.70	1	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/27*100=0	0	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	17/27*100=62.96	4	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	0/27*100=0	0	5
Total			23	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Jammu & Kashmir

The State of Jammu & Kashmir has scored 23 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (23) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 23

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= $23/105 \times 2.5$

= **0.55**

10.Jharkhand

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Jharkhand to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.10.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/40*100=17.50	2	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/40*100=25	2	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/40*100=17.50	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/40*100=0.00	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	78/78*100=100.00	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/78*100=0.00	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/78*100=0.00	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/78*100=0.00	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	358/380*100=94.21	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	87/89*100=97.75	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	20/89*100=22.47	3	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			

21.	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
22.	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
23.	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
24.	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
25.	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
26.	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
27.	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		33	71

***Source:** Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Jharkhand*

The State of Jharkhand has scored 33 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (33) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 33

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= \frac{33}{71} \times 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.16}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.10.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building; and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	1	1	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2-3	2	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			

	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	7/40*100=17.5	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	6/40*100=15	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	10/40*100=25.00	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	11/40*100=27.5	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	11/40*100=27.5	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	7/40*100=17.50	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/40*100=12.50	2	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 380 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	37/380*100=9.74	1	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 380 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	0/380*100=0.00	0	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 89 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks	9/89*100=10.11	2	5

	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 89 Verified Assets/Works)	16/89*100=17.98	2	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	13/89*100=14.61	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		29	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Jharkhand.

The State of Jharkhand has scored 29 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (29) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =29

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{29}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{0.73}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.10.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District only 1/3*100=33.33	2	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/40*100=0	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>	0/40*100=0.00	0	5

	<1	-0 Mark		
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> .	3/40*100=7.50	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	
	Yes – 1Mark			1
	No – 0Mark			
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	
	Yes – 1Mark			1
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	
	Yes – 1Mark			1
	No – 0Mark			
	Total		4	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Jharkhand

The State of Jharkhand has scored 4 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (4) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 4

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Minimum Marks

=4/33*2.5

=0.30

Table No.3.10.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/40*100=17.5	2	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	11/40*100=27.5	3	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/40*100=25	2	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5

6	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	7/40*100=17.5	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	5/40*100=12.5	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	3/40*100=7.5	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	2/40*100=5.00	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/40*100=5.00	1	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/40*100=0.00	0	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
19	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
20	Per centage of projects for Roads	6/40*100=15.00	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
21	Per centage of School Projects for school building	0/40*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			16	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Jharkhand

The State of Jharkhand has scored 16 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (16) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 16

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=16/105*2.5

=0.38

11.Karnataka

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Karnataka to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.11.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	3/28*100=10.71	2	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	374/404*100=92.57	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/404*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	113/404*100=27.97	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/404*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	274/280*100=97.86	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	135/140*100=96.43	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	7/140*100=5	1	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Drainage Project			
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Market related Projects			
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
	Irrigation Projects			
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
	Community Purpose Projects			
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark	No	0	1
	Fisheries Projects			
25.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
	Garbage Disposal Projects			
26.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark	Yes	1	1
	Toilet Projects			
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark	No	0	1
	Hostel Projects			
Total			51	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Karnataka.

The State of *Karnataka* has scored 51 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (51) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 51

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 51/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.80}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.11.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	11	6	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			

	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>			6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	26/28*100=92.86	5	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>	8/28*100=28.57	3	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	3/28*100=10.71	2	5

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/28*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	21/28*100=75	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	135/280*100=48.21	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	4/280*100=1.43	1	
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)	83/140*100=59.29	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark			

	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)	35/140*100=25.00	3	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	13/140*100=9.29	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		72	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Karnataka.

The State of Karnataka has scored 72 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (72) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =72

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{72}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{1.80}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.11..3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	0/2*100=0	0	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5

5.	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
6.	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
7.	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
9.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
Total			10	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Karnataka.

The State of Karnataka has scored 10 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 10 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{10}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.76}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.11.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/28*100=92.86	5	5

3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/28*100=10.71	2	5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	21/28*100=75	4	5

9	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	26/28*100=92.86	5	
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	22/28*100=78.57	5	
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	13/28*100=46.43	3	
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	8/28*100=28.57	3	
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	9/28*100=32.14	3	
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks			5
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	12/28*100=42.86	3	

15	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/28*100=10.71	2	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	13/28*100=46.43	3	5

21	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	9/28*100=32.14	3	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Total		66	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Karnataka

The State of Karnataka has scored 66 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (66) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 66

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=66/105*2.5

=1.57

12.Kerala

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Kerala to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.12.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	3/14*100=21.43	2	5

	<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>			
5.	<p>Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	95/95*100=100	5	5
6.	<p>Per centage of works abandoned</p> <p>Nil(o) - 5 Marks</p> <p>Below 1% - 4 Marks</p> <p>1-3% - 3 Marks</p> <p>>3-5% - 2 Marks</p> <p>>5-10% - 1 Mark</p> <p>Above 10%- 0 Mark</p>	0/95*100=0	5	5
7.	<p>Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects.</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p> <p>1 to 5%- 1 Mark</p> <p>>5-10%- 2 Marks</p> <p>>10-20%- 3 Marks</p> <p>>20-25% - 4 Marks</p> <p>Above 25%- 5 Marks</p>	0/95*100=0	0	5
8.	<p>Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p> <p>1 to 5%- 1 Mark</p> <p>>5-10%- 2 Marks</p> <p>>10-20%- 3 Marks</p> <p>>20-25% - 4 Marks</p> <p>Above 25%- 5 Marks</p>	0/95*100=0	0	5
9.	<p>Per centage of utility of assets verified.</p> <p>Fully Utilized –</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>	118/120*100=98.33	5	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	55/59*100=93.22	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	4/59*100=6.78	2	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1

19.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	No	0	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			45	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Kerala.

The State of Kerala has scored 45 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (45) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 45

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 45/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.58}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.12.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	1	1	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	1	1	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			

	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			6
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	11/14*100=78.57	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	10/14*100=71.43	4	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	$0/14 \times 100 = 0$	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	$6/14 \times 100 = 42.86$	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	$6/14 \times 100 = 42.86$	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	$5/14 \times 100 = 35.71$	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	$3/14 \times 100 = 21.43$	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 120 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	69/120*100=57.5	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 120 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	22/120*100=18.33	4	
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 59 Verified Assets/Works)	5/59*100=8.47	1	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			

	1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 59 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	22/59*100=37.29	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	14/59*100=23.73	5	5
	Total		55	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Kerala.

The State of Kerala has scored 55 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (55) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =55

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
& = \frac{55}{100} \times 2.5 \\
& = \underline{\underline{1.38}}
\end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.12.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 Districts 1/1*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/14*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
Total			11	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Kerala.

The State of Kerala has scored 11 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (11) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 11 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 11/33 \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.83}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.12.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	11/14*100=78.57	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	11/14*100=78.57	5	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>11/14*100=78.57</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>6/14*100=42.86</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>6/14*100=42.86</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>5/14*100=35.71</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>3/14*100=21.43</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>11/14*100=78.57</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks	3/14*100=21.43	2	5

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			47	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Kerala

The State of Kerala has scored 47 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 47

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=47/105*2.5

=**1.12**

13.Madhya Pradesh

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Madhya Pradesh to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.13.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	49/56*100=87.5	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	47/56*100=83.93	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/56*100=32.14	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	3/56*100=5.36	1	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	444/478*100=92.89	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/478*100=0.00	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	28/478*100=5.86	2	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	8/478*100=1.67	1	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	551/560*100=98.39	5	5

	<1 - 0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	212/227*100=93.39	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			
	>5-10%- 2 Marks			
	>10-15%- 3 Marks			
	>15-20%- 4 Marks			
	More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	5/227*100=2.20	1	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			
	>5-20%- 2 Marks			
	>20-30%- 3 Marks			
	>30-50%- 4 Marks			
	More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Drainage Project			
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Market related Projects			
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
	Irrigation Projects			
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Community Purpose Projects			
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark	No	0	1
	Fisheries Projects			
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark	No	0	1
	Garbage Disposal Projects			
26.	Yes-1 Mark No-0Mark	Yes	1	1
	Toilet Projects			
27.	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark	Yes	1	1
	Hostel Projects			
Total			50	71

***Source:** Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Madhya Pradesh*

The State of *Madhya Pradesh* has scored 50 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (50) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 50

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 50/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.76}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.13.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	12	6	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			

	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	49/56*100=87.50	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	47/56*100=83.93	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	40/56*100=71.43	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/56*100=12.5	2	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/56*100=32.14	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	3/56*100=5.36	1	5

13.	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
14.	<p>Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	55/56*100=98.21	5	5
15.	<p>Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 560 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	253/560*100=45.18	3	5
16.	<p>Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 560 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)</p> <p>>25 % - 5Marks</p> <p>>10-25 % - 4 Marks</p> <p>>5-10 % - 3 Marks</p> <p>>2-5 % - 2 Marks</p> <p>1-2 % - 1 Mark</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p>	115/560*100=20.54	4	5
17.	<p>Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 227 Verified Assets/Works)</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p> <p>1-10 % - 1 Mark</p> <p>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</p> <p>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</p> <p>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</p> <p>>50 % - 5 Marks</p>	7/227*100=3.08	1	5

18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 130 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	79/227*100=34.80	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	67/227*100=29.52	4	5
Total			71	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Madhya Pradesh

The State of Madhya Pradesh has scored 71 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (71) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =71

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{71}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{1.78}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No. 3.13.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	4 Districts only 4/4*100=100.00	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>	0/56*100=0.00	0	5

5.	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
6.	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
7.	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
9.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
Total			13	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Madhya Pradesh.

The State of *Madhya Pradesh* has scored 13 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (13) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 13 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{13}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.98}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.13.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	49/56*100=87.50	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	47/56*100=83.93	5	5

4	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan	7/56*100=12.5	2	5
5	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
6	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	18/56*100=32.14	3	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	3/56*100=5.36	1	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	55/56*100=98.21	5	5

9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	56/56*100=100.00	5	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/56*100=12.5	2	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/56*100=0.00	0	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/56*100=1.79	1	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/56*100=25	2	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	0/56*100=0.00	0	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/56*100=1.78	1	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/56*100=8.93	1	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/56*100=75.00	4	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/56*100=8.93	1	5
20	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/56*100=14.29	2	5
21	Per centage of School Projects for school building >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/56*100=3.57	1	5
Total			46	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of Madhya Pradesh

The State of *Madhya Pradesh* has scored 46 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (46) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 46

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 46/105 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.10}}\end{aligned}$$

14.Maharashtra

Table No.3.14.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer Table No A1.1 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/28*100=96.43	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	14/28*100=50	4	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	315/354*100=88.98	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/354*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	142/354*100=40.11	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	4/354*100=1.13	1	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	256/280*100=91.42	5	5

	<1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	138/140*100=98.57	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			
	>5-10%- 2 Marks			
	>10-15%- 3 Marks			
	>15-20%- 4 Marks			
	More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	15/140*100=10.71	2	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			
	>5-20%- 2 Marks			
	>20-30%- 3 Marks			
	>30-50%- 4 Marks			
	More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	-	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	Yes	1	

	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	Yes		
	Yes-1Mark		1	1
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes		
	Yes-1Mark		1	1
	No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	No	-	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	-	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	Yes		
	Yes-1Mark		1	1
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	Yes		
	Yes-1 Mark		1	1
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		60	71

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Maharashtra.)

The State of Maharashtra has scored 60 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (60) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 60

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{60}{71} * 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{2.11}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.14.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) **Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,**
- b) **Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs. (Refer Table No A1.2 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)**

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	7	7	9
	<i>1 Year - 1 Mark</i>			
	<i>2 Years- 2 Marks</i>			
	<i>3 Years- 3 Marks</i>			
	<i>4 years - 4 Marks</i>			
	<i>5 Years- 5 Marks</i>			
	<i>6 Years- 6 Marks</i>			
	<i>7 Years- 7 Marks</i>			
	<i>8 Years- 8 Marks</i>			
	<i>9 Years- 9 Marks</i>			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	7	4	6
	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i>			
	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i>			
	<i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i>			
	<i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i>			
	<i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i>			
	<i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	25/28*100=89.28	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			
	<i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			
	<i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>			
	<i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	25/28*100=89.28	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/28*100=96.42	5	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/28*100=92.86	5	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have	27/28*100=96.43	5	5

	consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/28*100=50	4	5
13.	Per centage of GPs & LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	23/28*100=82.14	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	260/280*100=92.85	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	25/280*100=8.92	3	5
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)	17/140*100=12.14	2	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)	77/140*100=55	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	23/140*100=16.42	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks			
Total			87	100

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Maharashtra.)

The State of Maharashtra has scored 87 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (87) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =87

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

=87/100*2.5

= **2.18**

Table No.3.14.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer Table No A1.3 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District only 1/2*100=50	2	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for	16/28*100=57.14	4	5

3.	BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark				
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/28*100=35.71	3		5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/28*100=53.57	4		5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in Plan Plus. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/28*100=96.42	5		5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1		1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1		1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1		1
	Total		26		33

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Maharashtra.)

The State of Maharashtra has scored 26 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (26) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored	=26
Minimum Marks	= 33
Overall Value (Weightage)	= 2.5
Calculation =	$\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$ $= \frac{26}{33} \times 2.5$ $= \underline{1.97}$

Table No.3.14.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer Table No A1.4 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5

3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/28*100=96.43	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/28*100=96.43	5	5
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/28*100=50	4	5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	23/28*100=82.14	5	5

9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/28*100=53.57	4	5
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	0	0	5

16	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
17	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	5/28*100=17.86	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
18	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
19	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	8/28*100=28.57	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
20	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
21	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
Total			76	105

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Maharashtra.)

The State of Maharashtra has scored 76 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (76) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 76

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}}$ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

= $76/105 \times 2.5$

= **1.81**

15.Manipur

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Manipur to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.15.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/14*100=57.14	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.71	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/43*100=97.67	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/43*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	39/43*100=90.70	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/43*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	140/140*100=100	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	37/37*100=100.00	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks		5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	5/37*100=13.51	2	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks		5	
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
Total			46	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Manipur.

The State of Manipur has scored 46 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (46) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 46

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 46/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.62}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.15.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	3	3	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	1	1	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			

	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>			6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	8/14*100=57.14	4	5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	8/14*100=57.14	4	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.71	5	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.71	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5

13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/14*100=85.71	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	68/140*100=48.57	3	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	2/140*100=1.43	1	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 37 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks	2/37*100=5.41	1	5

	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 37 Verified Assets/Works)	13/37*100=35.14	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	6/37*100=16.22	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		54	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Manipur.

The State of Manipur has scored 54 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (54) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =54

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

=54/100*2.5

=1.35

Table No.3.15.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District 1/1*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0.00	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/14*100=0.00	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/14*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	0/14*100=0.00	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Total		10	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Manipur.

The State of Manipur has scored 10 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 10 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{10}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.76}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.15.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/14*100=57.14	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	8/14*100=57.14	4	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>5/14*100=35.71</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRIs having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>13/14*100=92.86</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>12/14*100=85.71</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>12/14*100=85.71</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/14*100=0.00</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>12/14*100=85.71</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	13/14*100=92.86	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
20	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			41	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Manipur

The State of Manipur has scored 41 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (41) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 41

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=41/105*2.5

=0.98

16.Meghalaya

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Meghalaya to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.16.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/13*100=100	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/13*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/13*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	79/85*100=92.94	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	1/85*100=1.18	3	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/85*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/85*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	115/130*100=88.46	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	53/54*100=98.15	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks		5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	7/54*100=12.96	2	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks		5	
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	No	0	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		37	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Meghalaya.

The State of Meghalaya has scored 37 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (37) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 37

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 37/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.30}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.16.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	3	2	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			

	02-03 <i>Subjects - 2 Marks</i>			
	04-05 <i>Subjects - 3 Marks</i>			6
	05-07 <i>Subjects - 4 Marks</i>			
	08-10 <i>Subject 5 Marks</i>			
	>10 <i>Subject 6 Marks</i>			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	13/13*100=100	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	0/13*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/13*100=100	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 130 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	34/130*100=26.15	3	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 130 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	0/130*100=0	0	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 58 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks	4/54*100=7.41	1	5

	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 58 Verified Assets/Works)	17/54*100=31.48	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	6/54*100=11.11	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		47	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Meghalaya.

The State of Meghalaya has scored 47 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =47

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

=47/100*2.5

=**1.18**

Table No.3.16.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 Districts 1/1*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	13/13*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/13*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/13*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Total		15	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Meghalaya.

The State of Meghalaya has scored 15 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (15) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 15 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{15}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.14}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.16.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	13/13*100=100	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	13/13*100=100	5	5

3	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	13/13*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	13/13*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/13*100=0	0	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	1/13*100=7.69	1	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/13*100=7.69	1	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	0/13*100=0	0	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/13*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	6/13*100=46.15	3	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	9/13*100=69.23	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			44	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Meghalaya

The State of Meghalaya has scored 44 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (44) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 44

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=44/105*2.5

=**1.05**

17.Mizoram

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Mizoram to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.17.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/12*100=75	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/12*100=83.33	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/12*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	110/110*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/110*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	99/110*100=90	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/110*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	116/120*100=96.67	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	60/60*100=100.00	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	0/60*100=0	0	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			42	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Mizoram.

The State of Mizoram has scored 42 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (42) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 42

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 42/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.48}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.17.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained. No Subject Trained- 0 Mark	2	2	

3.	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			6
	06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	9/12*100=75	4	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
4.	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	1/12*100=8.33	1	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
5.	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
6.	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
7.	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	11/12*100=91.67	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	10/12*100=83.33	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark			

13.	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/12*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
14.	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
15.	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 120 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	76/120*100=63.33	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
16.	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 120 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	0/120*100=0	0	
	>25 % - 5Marks			5
17.	>10-25 % - 4 Marks			
	>5-10 % - 3 Marks			
	>2-5 % - 2 Marks			
	1-2 % - 1 Mark			
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 60 Verified Assets/Works)	1/60*100=1.67	1	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			

	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 60 Verified Assets/Works)	28/60*100=46.67	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	11/60*100=18.33	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		33	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Mizoram.

The State of Mizoram has scored 33 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (33) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =33

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=33/100*2.5

=0.83

Table No.3.17.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	1 Districts	5	5
	1/1*100=100			
	For all the Districts – 5Marks For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks For 25% Districts – 1Mark No 0Mark			
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	11/12*100=91.67	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/12*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/12*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	0/12*100=0	0	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
Total			10	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Mizoram.

The State of Mizoram has scored 10 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 10 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{10}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.76}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.17.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/12*100=75	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>0/12*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>11/12*100=91.67</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>0/12*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>10/12*100=83.33</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/12*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>0/12*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/12*100=58.33	4	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/12*100=16.67	2	5
11	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/12*100=16.67	2	5
12	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks	4/12*100=33.33	3	5

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/12*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/12*100=16.67	2	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	5/12*100=41.67	3	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	1/12*100=8.33	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			31	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Mizoram

The State of Mizoram has scored 31 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (31) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 31

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=31/105*2.5

=0.74

18.Nagaland

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Nagaland to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.18.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/26*100=80.77	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/26*100=84.62	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/26*100=73.08	4	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/26*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2529/2532*100=99.88	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/2532*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	49/2532*100=1.94	1	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/2532*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	258/260*100=99.23	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	117/117*100=100	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks		5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	2/117*100=1.71	1	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks		5	
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
26.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
Total			47	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Nagaland.

The State of Nagaland has scored 47 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 47

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= \frac{47}{71} \times 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.65}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.18.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	3	2	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	21/26*100=80.77	5		
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	21/26*100=80.77	5		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	22/26*100=84.62	5		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	22/26*100=84.62	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	0/26*100=0	0		5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	19/26*100=73.08	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/26*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/26*100=3.85	1	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 260 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	117/260*100=45	3	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 260 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	0/260*100=0	0	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 117 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark	5/117*100=4.27	1	5

	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 117 Verified Assets/Works)	67/117*100=57.26	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	23/117*100=19.66	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		43	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Nagaland.

The State of Nagaland has scored 43 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (43) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =43

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{43}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{1.08}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.18.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	2 Districts	5	5
	2/2*100=100			
	For all the Districts – 5Marks For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks For 25% Districts – 1Mark No 0Mark			
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	0/26*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/26*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/26*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	0/26*100=0	0	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
Total			5	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Nagaland.

The State of Nagaland has scored 5 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (5) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 5 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{5}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.38}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.18.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/26*100=80.77	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	22/26*100=84.62	5	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>22/26*100=84.62</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>0/26*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>0/26*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>19/26*100=73.08</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/26*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>1/26*100=3.85</p> <p>1</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	8/26*100=30.77	3	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/26*100=0	0	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	11/26*100=42.31	3	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/26*100=0	0	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	2/26*100=7.69	1	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	1/26*100=3.85	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$1/26*100=3.85$	1	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$6/26*100=23.08$	2	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$0/26*100=0$	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$3/26*100=11.54$	2	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$0/26*100=0$	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	$23/26*100=88.46$	5	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	5/26*100=19.23	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			40	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Nagaland

The State of Nagaland has scored 40 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (40) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 40

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=40/105*2.5

=0.95

19.Odisha

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Odisha to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.19.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development	1/42*100=2.38	1	5

Goals(MGDs)				
>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark				
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated.	1965/1983*100=99.09	5	5
>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark				
6.	Per centage of works abandoned	0/1983*100=0.00	5	5
Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark				
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects.	63/1983*100=3.18	1	5
<1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks				
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women	0/1983*100=0.00	0	5
<1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks				
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified.	420/420*100=100.00	5	5
Fully Utilized –				

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	210/210*100=100.00	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	0/210*100=0.00	0	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	No	0	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
18.	PDS Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	

	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
22.	Irrigation Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		45	71

***Source:** Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Odisha*

The State of Odisha has scored 45 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (45) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 45

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 45/71 \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.58}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.19.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	5	5	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	4-5	3	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	6/42*100=14.29	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	37/42*100=88.10	5	5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/42*100=14.29	2	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	27/42*100=64.29	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	26/42*100=61.90	4	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/42*100=61.90	4	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/42*100=2.38	1	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 420 Stakeholders /Community	238/420*100=56.67	4	5

	Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 420 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	11/420*100=2.62	2	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 210 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	49/210*100=23.33	3	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 210 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	86/210*100=40.95	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	31/210*100=14.76	5	5
	Total		68	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Odisha

The State of Odisha has scored 68 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (68) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =68

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{68}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.70}}$$

Table No.3.19.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	2 District only 2/3*100=66.67	3	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	26/42*100=61.90	4	5
	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>	1/42*100=2.38	1	5

3.	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in Plan Plus. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	32/42*100=76.19	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
Total			16	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Odisha

The State of Odisha has scored 16 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (16) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored	=16
Minimum Marks	= 33
Overall Value (Weightage)	= 2.5
$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$	
$= \frac{16}{33} \times 2.5$	
$= \underline{\underline{1.21}}$	

Table No.3.19.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	37/42*100=88.10	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	42/42*100=100.00	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/42*100=61.90	4	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/42*100=61.90	4	5
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/42*100=2.38	1	5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	42/42*100=100.00	5	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	39/42*100=92.86	5	5
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0//42*100=0.00	0	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
13	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/42*100=14.29	2	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/42*100=45.24	3	5
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/42*100=0.00	0	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/42*100=7.14	1	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	18/42*100=42.86	3	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/42*100=0.00	0	5
20	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
21	Per centage of School Projects for school building >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	32/42*100=76.19	5	5
Total			63	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Odisha

The State of Odisha has scored 63 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (63) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 63

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}}$ X Weightage

=63/105*2.5

=**1.50**

20.Punjab

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Punjab to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.20.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	42/42*100=100.00	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/42*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/42*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/42*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	54/54*100=100	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	27/27*100=100	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks		5	
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	9/27*100=33.33	4	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks		5	
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	No	0	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Total		38	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Punjab.

The State of Punjab has scored 38 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (38) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 38

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= \frac{38}{71} \times 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.34}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.20.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	4	3	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			

	Only One Subject- 1 Mark 02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks 04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks 05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks 08-10 Subject 5 Marks >10 Subject 6 Marks				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	0/14*100=0	0		5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/14*100=42.86	3	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 54 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/54*100=22.22	2	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 54 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	5/54*100=9.26	3	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 27 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	5/27*100=18.52	2	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 27 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark	10/27*100=37.04	4	5

	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	6/27*100=22.22	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		41	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Punjab.

The State of Punjab has scored 41 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (41) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =41

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{41}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{1.03}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.20.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	1 Districts	5	5
	1/1*100=100			
	<i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>			
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	6/14*100=42.86	3	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.		14/14*100=100	5	5
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.			
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Total		15	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Punjab.

The State of Punjab has scored 15 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (15) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 15 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{15}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.14}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.20.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	<p>Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha.</p> <p>>75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
2	<p>Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha</p> <p>>75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark</p>	6/14*100=42.86	3	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>3/14*100=21.43</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>6/14*100=42.86</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>6/14*100=42.86</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>2/14*100=14.29</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/14*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>3/14*100=21.43</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/14*100=35.71	3	5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.28	2	5
11	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
12	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks	4/14*100=28.57	3	5

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	2/14*100=14.29	2	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
Total			37	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Punjab

The State of Punjab has scored 37 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (37) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 37

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=37/105*2.5

=0.88

21.Rajasthan

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Rajasthan to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.21.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/28*100=32.14	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	1/28*100=3.57	1	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	509/547*100=93.05	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	20/547*100=3.66	2	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	55/547*100=10.05	3	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	3/547*100=0.55	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized –	256/280*100=91.43	5	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	137/138*100=99.28	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	1/138*100=0.72	0	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
18.	PDS Projects	Yes	1	1

19.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1 Mark No-0 Mark			
	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
Total			47	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of **Rajasthan.**

The State of Rajasthan has scored 47 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 47

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 47/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.65}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.21.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	6	4	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	25/28*100=89.29	5		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	20/28*100=71.43	4		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	25/28*100=89.29	5		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	25/28*100=89.29	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>	15/28*100=53.57	4		5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/28*100=25	2	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/28*100=25	2	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/28*100=32.14	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks	0/28*100=0	0	

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	35/280*100=12.5	2	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	8/280*100=2.86	2	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 138 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	56/138*100=40.58	4	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 138 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark	57/138*100=41.30	4	5

	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	12/138*100=8.70	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		70	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Rajasthan.

he State of Rajasthan has scored 70 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (70) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =70

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Maks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}}$ X Weightage

=70/100*2.5

=1.75

Table No.3.21.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer Table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	2 Districts	5	5
	2/2*100=100			
	<i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>			
2.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	7/28*100=25	2	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/28*100=0	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/28*100=0	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
Total			13	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Rajasthan.

The State of Rajasthan has scored 13 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (13) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 13 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{13}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.98}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.21.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	<p>Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha</p> <p>>75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
2	<p>Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram Sabha</p> <p>>75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark</p>	25/28*100=89.29	5	5

3	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan	7/28*100=25	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated	7/28*100=25	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	9/28*100=32.14	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	26/28*100=92.86	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	19/28*100=67.86	4	
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	5/28*100=17.86	2	
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/28*100=3.57	1	
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	8/28*100=28.57	3	
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
17	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/28*100=17.86	2	5
18	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	15/28*100=53.57	4	5
19	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/28*100=17.86	2	5
20	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	27/28*100=96.43	5	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	7/28*100=25.00	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			62	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Rajasthan

The State of Rajasthan has scored 62 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (62) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 62

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=62/105*2.5

=1.48

22.Sikkim

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Sikkim to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.22.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/13*100=61.54	4	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/13*100=76.92	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/13*100=76.92	5	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs)	0/13*100=0	0	5

	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	102/102*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/102*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	79/102*100=77.45	5	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	6/102*100=5.88	2	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks	130/130*100=100	5	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks	58/58*100=100.00	5	5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds. <1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks	0/58*100=0	0	5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts) Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
13.	Anganwadi Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
14.	Streetlight Projects Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
15.	School Building Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
16.	Drinking Water Projects Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark	Yes	1	1
17.	Heath Centre Projects Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark	No	0	1
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1

19.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
20.	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
Total			50	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of **Sikkim**.

The State of Sikkim has scored 50 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (50) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 50

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 50/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.76}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.22.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			

2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	3	2	
	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i>			
	<i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i>			
	<i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i>			6
	<i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i>			
	<i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i>			
	<i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	8/13*100=61.54	4	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			
	<i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>			5
	<i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			
	<i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>			
	<i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	7/13*100=53.85	4	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			5
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			
	<i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			
	<i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>			
	<i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	10/13*100=76.92	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			
	<i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			
	<i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>			
	<i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	12/13*100=92.31	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			
	<i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>			
	<i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>			
	<i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>			
	<i>1-10% -1 Mark</i>			
	<i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	6/13*100=46.15	3	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i>			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/13*100=61.54	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	5/13*100=38.46	3	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	4/13*100=30.77	3	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/13*100=76.92	5	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for	0/13*100=0	0	

	Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/13*100=92.31	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 130 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	64/130*100=49.23	4	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 130 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	85/130*100=65.38	5	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 58 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	18/58*100=31.03	4	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 58 Verified	22/58*100=37.93	4	5

19.	Assets/Works)			
	<1 -	0 Mark		
	1-10 % -	1 Mark		
	>10-20 % -	2 Marks		
	>20-30 % -	3 Marks		
	>30-50 % -	4 Marks		
	>50 % -	5 Marks		
	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	11/58*100=18.97	5	5
	100%-	0 Mark		
	>80-99%-	1 Mark		
	>60-80%-	2 Marks		
	>50-60%-	3 Marks		
	25-50%-	4 Marks		
	Less than 25% -	5 Marks		
	Total		67	100

***Source:** Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Sikkim.*

The State of Sikkim has scored 67 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (67) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =67

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=67/100*2.5

=1.68

Table No.3.22.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	0/1*100=0	0	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	5/13*100=38.46	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/13*100=0.00	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/13*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/13*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	2/13*100=15.38	2	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
Total			5	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Sikkim.

The State of Sikkim has scored 5 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (5) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 5 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{5}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.38}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.22.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	8/13*100=61.54	4	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	12/13*100=92.31	5	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>10/13*100=76.92</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>5/13*100=38.46</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>4/13*100=30.77</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>10/13*100=76.92</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/13*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>12/13*100=92.31</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	13/13*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	7/13*100=53.85	4	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	5/13*100=38.46	3	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/13*100=0	0	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	4/13*100=30.77	3	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	3/13*100=23.08	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/13*100=7.69	1	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/13*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/13*100=7.69	1	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	6/13*100=46.15	3	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	5/13*100=38.46	3	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	5/13*100=38.46	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			58	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Sikkim

The State of Sikkim has scored 58 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (58) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 58

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=58/105*2.5

=1.38

23.Tamil Nadu

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Tamil Nadu to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.23.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	0/28*100=0	0	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	201/201*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/201*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	13/201*100=6.47	2	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/201*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	273/280*100=97.5	5	5

10.	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	102/103*100=99.03	5	
11.	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			
	>5-10%- 2 Marks			5
	>10-15%- 3 Marks			
	>15-20%- 4 Marks			
	More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	3/103*100=2.91	1	
12.	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-5%- 1 Mark			5
	>5-20%- 2 Marks			
	>20-30%- 3 Marks			
	>30-50%- 4 Marks			
	More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
13.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
14.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
15.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
16.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
17.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
18.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	Yes	1	1
19.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1
19.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			

20.	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
22.	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark			
24.	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
26.	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		46	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Tamil Nadu.

The State of *Tamil Nadu* has scored 46marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (46) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 46

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 46/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.62}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.23.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	4	4	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	4	3	
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			

	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			6
	06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	22/28*100=78.57	5	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	16/28*100=57.14	4	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/28*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$1/28*100=3.57$	1	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$10/28*100=35.71$	3	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$10/28*100=35.71$	3	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$10/28*100=35.71$	3	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$0/28*100=0$	0	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks	$0/28*100=0$	0	

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	116/280*100=41.43	3	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	11/280*100=3.93	2	5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 103 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	14/103*100=13.59	2	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 103 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark	46/103*100=44.66	4	5

19.	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	30/103*100=29.13	4	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		56	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tamil Nadu.

The State of Tamil Nadu has scored 56 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (56) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =56

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 & = \frac{56}{100} \times 2.5 \\
 & = \underline{\underline{1.40}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.23.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	0/2*100=0	0	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	0/28*100=0	0	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	Yes	1	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Total		5	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tamil Nadu.

The State of Tamil Nadu has scored 5 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (5) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 5 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{5}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.38}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.23.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>22/28*100=78.57</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>10/28*100=35.71</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>10/28*100=35.71</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>10/28*100=35.71</p> <p>3</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/28*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>22/28*100=78.57</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	28/28*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	4/28*100=14.29	2	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	0/28*100=0	0	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	6/28*100=21.43	2	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/28*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	14/28*100=50	4	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			47	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tamil Nadu

The State of Tamil Nadu has scored 47 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (47) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 47

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=47/105*2.5

=1.12

24.Telangana

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Telangana to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.24.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/28*100=75	4	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/28*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	763/775*100=98.45	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	4/775*100=0.52	4	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	96/775*100=12.39	3	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively) for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/775*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	246/280*100=87.86	5	5

10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	129/140*100=92.14	5	
	<1 - <i>0 Mark</i>			
	1-5%- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	>5-10%- <i>2 Marks</i>			5
	>10-15%- <i>3 Marks</i>			
	>15-20%- <i>4 Marks</i>			
	More than 20%- <i>5 Marks</i>			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	35/140*100=25	3	
	<1 - <i>0 Mark</i>			
	1-5%- <i>1 Mark</i>			5
	>5-20%- <i>2 Marks</i>			
	>20-30%- <i>3 Marks</i>			
	>30-50%- <i>4 Marks</i>			
	More than 50% - <i>5 Marks</i>			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No - <i>0 Mark</i>			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
18.	PDS Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			

20.	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
22.	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark			
24.	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
26.	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		49	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Telangana.

The State of *Telangana* has scored 49 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (49) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 49

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 49/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.73}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.24.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building; and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	10	5	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>06-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>				6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	24/28*100=85.71	5		5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	25/28*100=89.29	5		5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schmes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	28/28*100=100	5		5
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	28/28*100=100	5		5
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i>	0/28*100=0	0		5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	16/28*100=57.14	4	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	21/28*100=75	4	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	0/28*100=0	0	5

13.	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/28*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
14.	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
15.	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	119/280*100=42.50	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
16.	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	6/280*100=2.14	2	
	>25 % - 5Marks			5
17.	>10-25 % - 4 Marks			
	>5-10 % - 3 Marks			
	>2-5 % - 2 Marks			
	1-2 % - 1 Mark			
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)	73/140*100=52.14	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			

	1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	55/140*100=39.29	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	6/140*100=4.29	5	5
	Total		74	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Telangana.

The State of Telangana has scored 74 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (74) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =74

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
& = \frac{74}{100} \times 2.5 \\
& = \underline{\underline{1.85}}
\end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.24.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District only 1/2*100=50	2	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	22/28*100=78.57	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/28*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	Yes	1	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	Yes	1	1
Total			15	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Telangana.

The State of Telangana has scored 15 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (15) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 15 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{15}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.14}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.24.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	28/28*100=100	5	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>28/28*100=100</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
4	<p>Per centage of PRIs having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>22/28*100=78.57</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
5	<p>Per centage of PRIs where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>16/28*100=57.14</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>21/28*100=75</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/28*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>25/28*100=89.29</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p>

9	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	24/28*100=85.71	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
12	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	2/28*100=7.14	1	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	25/28*100=89.29	5	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	5/28*100=17.86	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			

15	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/28*100=0	0	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	0/28*100=0	0	5
18	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	3/28*100=10.71	2	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	21/28*100=75	4	5

21	Per centage of School Projects for school building	6/28*100=21.43	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
Total			64	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Telangana

The State of Telangana has scored 64marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (64) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 64

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation =
$$\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

=64/105*2.5

=1.52

25.Tripura

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Tripura to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.25.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	54/54*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/54*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/54*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/54*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	140/140*100=100	5	5

10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	37/37*100=100.00	5	
	<1 - <i>0 Mark</i>			
	1-5%- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	>5-10%- <i>2 Marks</i>			5
	>10-15%- <i>3 Marks</i>			
	>15-20%- <i>4 Marks</i>			
	More than 20%- <i>5 Marks</i>			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	4/37*100=10.81	2	
	<1 - <i>0 Mark</i>			
	1-5%- <i>1 Mark</i>			5
	>5-20%- <i>2 Marks</i>			
	>20-30%- <i>3 Marks</i>			
	>30-50%- <i>4 Marks</i>			
	More than 50% - <i>5 Marks</i>			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No - <i>0 Mark</i>			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			
	No- <i>0 Mark</i>			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- <i>1 Mark</i>			

20.	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
22.	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1Mark			
24.	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
26.	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	No	0	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		41	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Tripura.

The State of *Tripura* has scored 41 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (41) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 41

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= 41/71 * 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.44}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.25.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2	2	

	<i>No Subject Trained- 0 Mark</i> <i>Only One Subject- 1 Mark</i> <i>02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks</i> <i>04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks</i> <i>05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks</i> <i>08-10 Subject 5 Marks</i> <i>>10 Subject 6 Marks</i>			6
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	14/14*100=100	5	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			5
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	14/14*100=100	5	
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			5
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	14/14*100=100	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	14/14*100=100	5	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			

7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
10.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs & ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5

12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	10/140*100=7.14	1	5
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed) >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks	0/140*100=0	0	5

	<i>>5-10 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>2-5 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>1-2 % - 1 Mark</i> <i><1 - 0 Mark</i>			
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 37 Verified Assets/Works) <i><1 - 0 Mark</i> <i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>	0/37*100=0	0	5
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 37 Verified Assets/Works) <i><1 - 0 Mark</i> <i>1-10 % - 1 Mark</i> <i>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</i> <i>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</i> <i>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</i> <i>>50 % - 5 Marks</i>	17/37*100=45.95	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year <i>100%- 0 Mark</i> <i>>80-99%- 1 Mark</i> <i>>60-80%- 2 Marks</i> <i>>50-60%- 3 Marks</i> <i>25-50%- 4 Marks</i> <i>Less than 25% -5 Marks</i>	5/37*100=13.51	5	5
	Total		39	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tripura.

The State of Tripura has scored 39 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (39) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =39

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{39}{100} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.98}}$$

Table No.3.25.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	<p>Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?</p> <p><i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i></p>	<p>1 District</p> <p>1/1*100=100</p>	5	5
2..	<p>Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>	<p>0/14*100=0</p>	0	

3.	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
	Per centage of PRIs & ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	5
5.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/14*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in Plan Plus.	14/14*100=100	5	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			

8.	No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No-- 0Mark			
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
	Yes – 1Mark			
	No – 0Mark			
	Total		10	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tripura.

The State of Tripura has scored 10 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 10

Minimum Marks = 33

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

Minimum Marks

= $10/33 \times 2.5$

= **0.76**

Table No.3.25..4:Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity(Refer table No.AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/14*100=100	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

6	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	0/14*100=0	0	5
7	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	0/14*100=0	0	5
8	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	14/14*100=100	5	5
9	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	14/14*100=100	5	5
10	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	0/14*100=0	0	5
11	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5

12	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5
15	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	0/14*100=0	0	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	5/14*100=35.71	3	5

18	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	4/14*100=28.57	3	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
Total			40	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Tripura

The State of Tripura has scored 40 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (40) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 40

Maximum mark = 105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= $40/105 \times 2.5$

= **0.95**

26.Uttar Pradesh

Table No 3.26.1: Assessment of whether BRGF has helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows. (Refer Table No A1.1 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/56*100=46.42	3	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	12/56*100=21.42	2	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/56*100=12.50	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	7/56*100=12.5	2	5

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	$210/215 \times 100 = 97.67$	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	$1/2015 \times 100 = 0.47$	4	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	$3/215 \times 100 = 1.40$	1	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	$0/215 \times 100 = 0$	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks	$231/237 \times 100 = 97.46$	5	5

	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	107/109*100=98.17	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	5/109*100=4.59	1	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			1
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
20.	Drainage Project	Yes	1	
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1
21.	Market related Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			1

22.	Irrigation Projects	Yes		
	Yes-1Mark		1	1
	No- 0 Mark			
23.	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0Mark			
24.	Fisheries Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
25.	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No- 0 Mark			
26.	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1Mark			1
	No-0Mark			
27.	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	
	Yes-1 Mark			1
	No-0 Mark			
	Total		42	71

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttar Pradesh.)

The State of Uttar Pradesh has scored 42 Marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (42) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 42

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{42}{71} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.48}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No 3.26.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs. (Refer Table No A1.2 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	3	3	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	8	5	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	26/56*100=46.43	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	48/56*100=85.71	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	12/56*100=21.43	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	45/56*100=80.36	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	4/56*100=7.14	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/56*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
9.	Per centage GPs & ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	9/56*100=16.07		
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark		2	5

	<1	-0 Mark		
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	9/56*100=16.07	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	7/56*100=12.50	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	7/56*100=12.5	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/56*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	23/56*100=41.07	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 237 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	139/237*100=58.65	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 237 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	14/237*100=5.91	3	5
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 109 Verified Assets/Works)	45/109*100=41.28	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 109 Verified Assets/Works)	46/109*100=42.20	4	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	8/109*100=7.34	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		55	100

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttar Pradesh)

The State of Uttar Pradesh has scored 55 Marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (55) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 55

Maximum Marks = 100

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$

= $55/100 \times 2.5$

= **1.38**

Table No 3.26.3: Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer Table No A1.3 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	0/4*100 = 0	0	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	8/56*100=14.29	2	5
	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i>	0/56*100=0	0	5

3.	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/56*100=0	0	5
5.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/56*100=0	0	5
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	56/56*100=100	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
Total			10	33

Source: Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttar Pradesh)

The State of Uttar Pradesh has scored 10 Marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (10) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 10 \\
 \text{Maximum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= \frac{10}{33} \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.76}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No 3.26.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer Table No A1.4 in Annexure for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	26/56*100=46.42	3	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	45/56*100=80.36	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks	12/56*100=21.42	2	

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/56*100=16.07	2	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	9/56*100=16.07	2	5
6	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/56*100=12.50	2	5
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	7/56*100=12.50	2	5
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	23/56*100=41.07	3	5

9	<1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping	25/56*100=44.64	3	5
	Very Good/Good.			
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
10	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	15/56*100=26.78		
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks		3	5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
11	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	7/56*100=12.5	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
12	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	2/56*100=3.57	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>50-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-50% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
13	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	2/56*100=3.57	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
14	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	4/56*100=10.71	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			

15	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/56*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
16	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	1/56*100=1.79	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
17	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	1/56*100=1.79		
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks		1	5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
18	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	2/56*100=3.57	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
19	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	1/56*100=1.79	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
20	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	36/56*100=64.29	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			

21	Per centage of School Projects for school building	1/56*100=1.79	1	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
Total			42	105

***Source:** Annexure 2 (Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttar Pradesh)*

The State of Uttar Pradesh has scored 42 Marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the Marks Obtained (42) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 42

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}}$ X Weightage

=42/105*2.5

= 1.00

27.Uttarakhand

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of Uttarakhand to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.27.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows (Refer A1.I in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	0/14*100=0	0	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	75/75*100=100	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/75*100=0	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/75*100=0	0	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	0/75*100=0	0	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	140/140*100=100	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	38/38*100=100	5	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			5
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	11/38*100=28.95	3	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	No	0	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	No	0	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	No	0	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	No	0	1
	Total		37	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Uttarkhand.

The State of *Uttarkhand* has scored 37 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (37) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 37

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\ &= \frac{37}{71} \times 2.5 \\ &= \underline{\underline{1.30}} \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.27.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building: and,
- b) Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.(Refer Table No.AI.2 in Annexure1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	2	2	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	2	2	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subject s - 2 Marks			

	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			
	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	3/14*100=21.43	2	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			5
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	3/14*100=21.43	2	
	>75-100%-5 Marks			5
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey	7/14*100=50	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified.	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.	0/14*100=0	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks			

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
13.	Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/14*100=0	0	
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			5
14.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register	0/14*100=100	0	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
15.	Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	26/140*100=18.57	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
16.	Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 140 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)	5/140*100=3.57	2	
	>25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark			5
17.	Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 38 Verified Assets/Works)	4/38*100=10.53	2	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark			

	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 38 Verified Assets/Works)	8/38*100=21.05	3	5
	<1 - 0 Mark			
	1-10 % - 1 Mark			
	>10-20 % - 2 Marks			
	>20-30 % - 3 Marks			
	>30-50 % - 4 Marks			
	>50 % - 5 Marks			
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year	6/38*100=15.79	5	5
	100%- 0 Mark			
	>80-99%- 1 Mark			
	>60-80%- 2 Marks			
	>50-60%- 3 Marks			
	25-50%- 4 Marks			
	Less than 25% -5 Marks			
	Total		32	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttarkhand.

The State of Uttarkhand has scored 32 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (32) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =32

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 32/100 \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{0.80}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.27..3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF (Refer table No.A.I.3 in Annexure I for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed? <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>	1 District 1/1*100=100	5	5
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	7/14*100=50	4	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization. <i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i>	0/14*100=0	0	5

5.	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/14*100=0	0	5
6.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>.	14/14*100=100	5	5
7.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established?	Yes	1	1
8.	Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark			
	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats.	Yes	1	1
9.	Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark			
	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs	No	0	1
Total			16	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of **Uttarkhand**.

The State of Uttarkhand has scored 16 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (16) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Marks Scored} &= 16 \\
 \text{Minimum Marks} &= 33 \\
 \text{Overall Value (Weightage)} &= 2.5 \\
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 16/33 \times 2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{1.21}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.27.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats & Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity (Refer table No. AI.4 in Annexure 1 for detailed methodology for calculation)

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100% -5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5

3	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha</p> <p>3/14*100=21.43</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
4	<p>Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan</p> <p>7/14*100=50</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
5	<p>Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated</p> <p>0/14*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
6	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified</p> <p>3/14*100=21.43</p> <p>2</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
7	<p>Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals</p> <p>0/14*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>		
8	<p>Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.</p> <p>0/14*100=0</p> <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>		

9	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Record Keeping Very Good/Good. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage Drinking Water Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	3/14*100=21.43	2	5
12	Per centage of Streetlight Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
	Per centage of Drainage Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
14	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks	9/14*100=64.29	4	5

15	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
16	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
	Per centage of Health Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
17	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	1/14*100=7.14	1	5
	Per centage of Burial Projects. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	0/14*100=0	0	5
18	Per centage of projects for Roads >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	5/14*100=35.71	3	5

21	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	2/14*100=14.29	2	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
Total			26	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected district of Uttarkhand

The State of Uttarkhand has scored 26 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (26) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 26

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Marks Scored

Calculation = _____ X Weightage

Maximum Marks

=26/105*2.5

=**0.62**

28. West Bengal

Calculation to Assess the Extent on the Scale of 0-10 for the State of West Bengal to which objectives of BRGF (including implementation of decentralized planning) have been fulfilled

Table No.3.28.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
2.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
3.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
4.	Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet the Millennium Development Goals(MGDs) >75-100%-5 Marks	14/28*100=50.00	4	5

	>49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	951/1086*100=87.57	5	5
6.	Per centage of works abandoned Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark	0/1086*100=0.00	5	5
7.	Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	120/1086*100=11.05	3	5
8.	Per centage of projects under WCP (exclusively)for women <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	32/1086*100=2.95	1	5
9.	Per centage of utility of assets verified. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks	278/280*100=99.29	5	5

	>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
10.	Per centage of good quality of Assets.	139/140*100=99.29	5	5
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks More than 20%- 5 Marks			
11.	Per centage of projects converged with other funds.	4/140*100=2.86	1	
	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-20%- 2 Marks >20-30%- 3 Marks >30-50%- 4 Marks More than 50% -5 Marks			5
12.	Connectivity projects (Roads and Culverts)	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
13.	Anganwadi Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
14.	Streetlight Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
15.	School Building Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
16.	Drinking Water Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No - 0 Mark			
17.	Heath Centre Projects	Yes	1	1
	Yes-1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
18.	PDS Projects	No	0	1
	Yes- 1 Mark No- 0 Mark			
19.	Panchayat Bhavan Projects	Yes	1	1

20.	Yes- 1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Drainage Project	Yes	1	1
21.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Market related Projects	Yes	1	1
22.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Irrigation Projects	Yes	1	1
23.	Yes-1Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Community Purpose Projects	Yes	1	1
24.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Fisheries Projects	No	0	1
25.	Yes-1 Mark			
	No- 0 Mark			
	Garbage Disposal Projects	No	0	1
26.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Toilet Projects	Yes	1	1
27.	Yes-1Mark			
	No-0Mark			
	Hostel Projects	Yes	1	1
Total			56	71

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of **West Bengal.**

The State of West Bengal has scored 56 marks out of 71. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (56) with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored = 56

Maximum Marks = 71

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= \frac{56}{71} \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.97}}$$

Table No.3.28.2: Calculation Sheet for the assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate Capacity Building; and,
- Facilitated Participatory Planning, Decision Making, Implementation and Monitoring that reflected Local Needs.

S. No.	Variable	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1.	Years in which capacity building conducted	9	9	9
	1 Year - 1 Mark			
	2 Years- 2 Marks			
	3 Years- 3 Marks			
	4 years - 4 Marks			
	5 Years- 5 Marks			
	6 Years- 6 Marks			
	7 Years- 7 Marks			
	8 Years- 8 Marks			
	9 Years- 9 Marks			
2.	Number of Subjects Trained.	Above 10	6	6
	No Subject Trained- 0 Mark			
	Only One Subject- 1 Mark			
	02-03 Subjects - 2 Marks			
	04-05 Subjects - 3 Marks			
	05-07 Subjects - 4 Marks			

	08-10 Subject 5 Marks			
	>10 Subject 6 Marks			
3.	Per centage of GPS & ULBs where felt needs identified in the Gram Sabhas / Ward Sabhas.	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
4.	Per centage of Project Selection/ Project Location /Stake holders /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha /Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
5.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where schemes were Prioritized by the Gram Sabha / Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Action Plans were Approved by Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha	28/28*100=100	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7.	Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audit was conducted in the Gram Sabha/ Ward Sabhas	14/28*100=50.00	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
8.	Per centage of GPs &ULBs have convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as Ward Sabhas/ Mahila Sabhas >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
9.	Per centage GPs &ULBs have conducted Baseline Survey >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
10.	Per centage of GPs& ULBs have consolidated the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	14/28*100=50.00	4	5
11.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBS that have prepared Projects to Bridge Gaps identified. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
12.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs have Prepared Plans relating to Millennium Development Goals. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	14/28*100=50.00	4	5

13.	<p><1 -0 Mark</p> <p>Per centage of GPs &LBS having appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
14.	<p>Per centage of PRIs &ULBs maintaining an Asset Register</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
15.	<p>Per centage of people who suggested works in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabha (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	280/280*100=100.00	5	5
16.	<p>Per centage of Stakeholders /Community Members who have participated in Plan Preparation (Out of 280 Stakeholders /Community Members interviewed)</p> <p>>25 % - 5Marks</p> <p>>10-25 % - 4 Marks</p> <p>>5-10 % - 3 Marks</p> <p>>2-5 % - 2 Marks</p> <p>1-2 % - 1 Mark</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p>	217/280*100=77.50	5	5
17.	<p>Per centage of works completed within two months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works)</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark</p> <p>1-10 % - 1 Mark</p> <p>>10-20 % - 2 Marks</p> <p>>20-30 % - 3 Marks</p> <p>>30-50 % - 4 Marks</p> <p>>50 % - 5 Marks</p>	24/140*100=17.14	2	5

18.	Per centage of works completed between 2 Months and 8 Months. (out of the 140 Verified Assets/Works) <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	66/140*100=47.14	4	5
19.	Per centage of works completed between 8 months and a year 100%- 0 Mark >80-99%- 1 Mark >60-80%- 2 Marks >50-60%- 3 Marks 25-50%- 4 Marks Less than 25% -5 Marks	15/140*100=10.71	5	5
	Total		85	100

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of Maharashtra.

The State of West Bengal has scored 85 marks out of 100. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (85) with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored =85

Maximum Marks =100

Overall Value (Weightage) =2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Calculation} &= \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage} \\
 &= 85/100*2.5 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{2.13}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table No.3.28.3. Calculation Sheet for the Assessment of Professional Support Provided to Local Bodies towards Planning, Implementation and Monitoring under BRGF.

Sl. No.	Variables	Status of the State	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1..	Whether Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) were appointed?	2 District only	5	5
	2/2*100=100.00 <i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i> <i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i> <i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i> <i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i> <i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i> <i>No 0Mark</i>			
2..	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs had received Technical Support for conducting Baseline Survey.	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
3.	Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had Appointed Additional Staff for BRGF.	13/28*100=46.43	3	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
4.	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
	<i>>75-100%-5 Marks</i> <i>>49-75% -4 Marks</i> <i>>25-49% -3 Marks</i> <i>>10-25% -2 Marks</i> <i>1-10% -1 Mark</i> <i><1 -0 Mark</i>			
	Per centage of PRIs had Appointed Bare- Foot Engineers.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5

5.	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
6.	Per centage of PRIs had uploaded their Action Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i>. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
7.	Whether Block Resource Centres (BRCs) established? Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
8.	Whether Intermediate Panchayats Provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. Yes – 1Mark No-- 0Mark	Yes	1	1
9.	Whether Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to PRIs Yes – 1Mark No – 0Mark	Yes	1	1
	Total		19	33

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of West Bengal.

The State of West Bengal has scored 19 marks out of 33. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (19) with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks Scored	=19
Minimum Marks	= 33
Overall Value (Weightage)	= 2.5

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Minimum Marks}} \times \text{Weightage}$$

$$= 19/33 \times 2.5$$

$$= \underline{\underline{1.44}}$$

Table No.3.28.4: Calculation sheet for the Assessment of the improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats& Municipalities and counter possible efficiency and equity losses on account of inadequate local capacity

Sl.No	Variables	Position of the state	Marks Scored	Maximum Marks
1	Per centage of PRIs and Municipalities where felt needs were identified in the Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
2	Per centage of PRIs where action plan was approved in the Gram sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
3	Per centage of PRIs where prioritization of schemes done in Gram Sabha >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
4	Per centage of PRI s having conducted baseline survey for the preparation of BRGF plan >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	19/28*100=67.86	4	5
5	Per centage of PRI s where conducted baseline survey has been consolidated >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark	14/28*100=50.00	4	5

6	<1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of PRIs which have prepared plans to bridge the gaps identified	20/28*100=71.43	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
7	Per centage of PRIs which have made plans relating to millennium development goals	14/28*100=50	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
8	Per centage of Gram Panchayats which have maintained asset register.	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
9	Record Keeping Very Good/Good.	28/28*100=100.00	5	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
10	Per centage of PRIs having improved in their planning capacity as a result of BRGF.	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			
	>25-49% -3 Marks			
	>10-25% -2 Marks			
	1-10% -1 Mark			
	<1 -0 Mark			
11	Per centage Drinking Water Projects.	18/28*100=64.29	4	5
	>75-100%-5 Marks			
	>49-75% -4 Marks			

12	>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Streetlight Projects.	1/28*100=3.57	1	5
13	>75-100%-5 Marks >50-75% -4 Marks >25-50% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Drainage Projects.	10/28*100=35.71	3	5
14	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage Panchayat Bhawan Projects.	12/28*100=42.86	3	5
15	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Garbage Disposal Projects.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
16	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.	4/28*100=14.29	2	5
17	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks			
	Per centage of Health Projects.	5/28*100=17.86	2	5

18	1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Anganwadis, Women and Child Welfare.	14/28*100=50.00	4	5
19	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of Burial Projects.	0/28*100=0.00	0	5
20	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of projects for Roads	21/28*100=75.00	4	5
21	>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark			
	Per centage of School Projects for school building	9/28*100=32.14	3	5
Total			70	105

Source: Data was computed by using the different set of questionnaire /checklists interviewed and canvassed during the field work in the selected districts of West Bengal

The State of West Bengal has scored 70 marks out of 105. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained (70) with the Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Marks scored = 70

Maximum mark =105

Overall Value (Weightage) = 2.5

Calculation = $\frac{\text{Marks Scored}}{\text{Maximum Marks}}$ X Weightage

=70/105*2.5

=1.67

Annexure 1

Methodology for Calculation of Performance Index

Table No.A1.1: Assessment of whether BRGF helped to bridge critical gaps in local infrastructure and other development requirements which were not being adequately addressed through existing inflows

Sl. No.	Indicator	Reference	Print-in Questionnaire for PRIs	Rationale
1.	Planning Processes.	1. Question 15b of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>1. Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where felt needs was identified in the Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabhas .</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicates whether gaps were identified at the Gram Sabhas. Also an indicator of their involvement and a step towards planning
		2. Question 15d of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>2. Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where BRGF schemes were prioritized in the Gram Sabhas /Ward Sabhas .</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
		3. Question 27 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>3.Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to bridge the gaps identified.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks</p>	

2.	Scheme Implementation		<p>>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicates whether plans were prepared to bridge gaps.
		4. Question. 28 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>4.Per centage of PRIs & Municipalities where plans were prepared to meet Millennium Development Goals</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
		1. Question. 33 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>5. Per centage of works completed in relation to works initiated.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indictor of relevance given to the works and its potential to bridge gap.
		2.Question. 33 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>6.Per centage of works abandoned.</p> <p>Nil(o) - 5 Marks Below 1% - 4 Marks 1-3% - 3 Marks >3-5% - 2 Marks >5-10% - 1 Mark Above 10%- 0 Mark</p>	Indicator of planning efficiency.
3.	Special Plans for Weaker Sections	1. Question 38 & 39 of GP /ULB Schedule.	<p>7. Per centage of projects under SCP/TSP in relation to total projects.</p> <p><1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks</p>	Indicator of consideration given to weaker sections.

4.	Utility of Assets (Based on Stakeholder/Community Schedule)	2.Question 40 of GP/ULB Schedule.	8.Per centage of projects for under WCP (Exclusively) for the benefit of women. <1 - 0 Mark 1 to 5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-20%- 3 Marks >20-25% - 4 Marks Above 25%- 5 Marks	Indicator of assets' usage.
		1. Question 29 of Stakeholder/Community Schedule.	9.Per centage of assets utilized. Fully Utilized – >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
			10. Per centage of good quality of assets.	
5.	Quality of Assets (Based on Asset Schedule)	1. Question 23 of the Asset schedule. (Question Number 15 in Annexure 2)	<1 - 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark >5-10%- 2 Marks >10-15%- 3 Marks >15-20%- 4 Marks > 20%- 5 Marks	Indicator of Quality Assets
6.	Convergence	1. Question 8 of Asset Schedule. (Question Number 19 in Annexure 2)	11.Per centage of projects converged with other funds. Nil- 0 Mark 1-5%- 1 Mark 6-20%- 2 Marks 21-30%- 3 Marks 31-50%- 4 Marks > 50%- 5 Marks	Indicator of additional funds' raising.
12.Connectivity projects				

7.	Nature of Assets (Based on PRI Schedules)	1. Question 42 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(Roads and Culverts)		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			13. Anganwadi Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
		2. Question 42 of GP/ULB Schedule.	No- 0 Mark		
			14. Streetlight Projects.		Indicator of gap filling development.
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No - 0 Mark		
			15. School Building Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			16. Drinking Water Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			17. Health Centre Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			18. PDS Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			19. Panchayat Bhavan Projects		
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		
			20. Drainage Project		Indicator of gap filling development.
			Yes- 1 Mark		
			No- 0 Mark		

					21.Market related Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					22.Irrigation Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					23.Community Purpose Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					24.Fisheries Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					25.Garbage Disposal Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					26.Toilet Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
					27.Hostel Projects
					Yes- 1 Mark
					No- 0 Mark
3. Question 42 of GP/ULB Schedule.					

Source: The table was constructed by the Centre for Rural Management (CRM),Kottayam, Kerala in consultation with the domain experts

Maximum and minimum marks that can be scored by a State are 71 and 0, respectively. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained with the Maximum Mark (71) and then multiplying it with 2.5.

Table No. A1. 2: Assessment of whether the various BRGF schemes:

- a) Strengthened Panchayat and Municipality level Governance with appropriate capacities built: and,
- b) Facilitated participatory planning, decision making, implementation and monitoring that reflected local needs.

Sl.No	Indicator	Reference	Print-in Questionnaire for PRIs&ULBs	Rationale
1.	Capacity Building.	1. Question 19 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>1. Years in which Capacity Building was conducted.</p> <p>1 year - 1 Mark 2 years- 2 Marks 3 years- 3 Marks 4 years- 4 Marks 5 years- 5 Marks 6 years- 6 Marks 7 years- 7 Marks 8 years- 8 Marks 9 years- 9 Marks</p>	From 2006-07, funds for capacity building had been allocated and effort should have been made to conduct capacity building activities to improve the capacity and functions of the ERs.
2.	Average Number of Subjects Trained.	1. Question 19 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>2. Number of Subjects Trained.</p> <p>No subject 0 Mark 01 subject- 1 Mark 2-3 - 2 Marks 4-5 - 3 Marks 6-7 - 4 Marks 8-10 - 5 Marks >10 - 6 Marks</p>	For the effective functioning of PRIs & ULBs, training should not have been limited to one or two subjects.
3.	Involvement of Gram Sabhas/Ward Sabha in Planning Processes.	1. Question 15b of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(3) Per centage of GPs a& ULBs where Felt Needs was identified in the Gram Sabhas /Ward Sabhas .</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark</p>	Participation of Gram Sabhas /Ward Sabhas in all activities is an indicator of active community involvement in planning.

		<1 -0 Mark	
	2. Question 15a of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(4) Per centage of GPs and ULBs where Project/Project Location/Stakeholder /Beneficiary Selection were made in the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabhas .</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Participation of Gram Sabhas /Ward Sabhas in all activities is indicators of active community involvement in planning.
	3. Question 15d of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(5) Per centage of GPs &ULBs where BRGF schemes were prioritized by the Gram Sabha/Ward Sabhas .</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
	4. Question 15c of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(6) Per centage of GPs and ULBs where Action Plans were approved by the Gram Sabhas /Ward Sabhas.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
	5. Question 15e of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(7) Per centage of GPs & ULBs where Social Audits were conducted in the Gram Sabhas</p>	

4.	Identification of gaps in Development and Prioritization.		/Ward Sabhas . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
		6. Question 17 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(8) Per centage of GPs and ULBs which had convened Sub Gram Sabhas such as <i>Ward Sabhas / Mahila Sabhas</i> . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
		1. Question 22 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(9)Per centage of GPs & ULBs had conducted Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Indicator of whether PRIs and ULBs' had identified gaps in development.
		1. Question 26 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(10)Per centage of GPs& ULBs had Consolidated data from the Baseline Surveys. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
		2. Question 27 of	(11)Per centage of GPs & ULBs had prepared	Indicator of whether the

		GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>projects to bridge the gaps identified.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	GPs & ULBs have an idea of the objective of the Scheme.
		3. Question 28 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(12) Per centage of GPs & ULBs had prepared plans relating to Millennium Development Goals (MGDGs).</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicator of whether the GPs and ULBs have an idea of the objective of the Scheme in the context of MDGs.
		4. Question 46 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>(13) Per centage of GPs & ULBs had appointed Volunteers for Community Mobilization.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicator of the active involvement of the PRIs and ULBs and community mobilization for grassroots level planning
		1.Question 53 of GP/ULB Schedule	<p>(14)Per centage of GPs &ULBs t had maintained an Asset Register.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicator of the effective functioning of PRIs and ULBs and ownership of the assets created under the Scheme.

5.	Community's Perception (Based on Community/Stakeholder Schedule)	1. Question 12 of Community / Stakeholder Schedule. (Question Number 15 in Annexure 2)	(15)Per centage of people who had suggested Works/Projects in Gram Sabha/ Ward Committees meetings. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Indicator of People's Participation and mobilization of the local community.
		2. Question 13 of Community/Stakeholder Schedule. (Question Number 16 in Annexure 2)	(16)Per centage of Community Members/Stakeholders who had participated in Plan Preparations. >25 % - 5Marks >10-25 % - 4 Marks >5-10 % - 3 Marks >2-5 % - 2 Marks 1-2 % - 1 Mark <1 - 0 Mark	Indicator of People's Participation and involvement of local community in the planning the scheme .
6.	Time taken to complete works (Based on Asset Verification Schedule)	1. Questions 14 and 15 of Asset Schedule.	(17)Per centage of works completed within Two Months. <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks >50 % - 5 Marks	Indicator of PRIs' functioning efficiency.
			(18)Per centage of works completed between 2 and 8 Months. <1 - 0 Mark 1-10 % - 1 Mark >10-20 % - 2 Marks >20-30 % - 3 Marks >30-50 % - 4 Marks	

			>50 % - 5 Marks	
		2. Questions 14 and 15 of Asset Schedule.	(19)Per centage of works completed between 8 Months and One Year	Indicator of PRIs' functioning efficiency.
			100%- 0 Mark	
			>80-99%- 1 Mark	
			>60-80%- 2 Marks	
			>50-60%- 3 Marks	
			25-50%- 4 Marks	
			<25% -5 Marks	

Source: The table was constructed by the Centre for Rural Management (CRM),Kottayam, Kerala in consultation with the domain experts

Maximum and minimum marks that can be scored by a State are 100 and 0 respectively. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The score of a State is calculated by dividing the marks obtained with the Maximum Mark (100) and then multiplying the result with 2.5.

Table No.A1.3: Assessment of professional support provided to local bodies towards BRGF Planning, Implementation and Monitoring.

Sl. No	Indicator	Reference	Print- in Questionnaire for PRIs	Rationale
1.	Technical Support Institutes (TSIs).	1. Question 25 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>1. Per centage of districts where Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) had been appointed.</p> <p><i>For all the Districts – 5Marks</i></p> <p><i>For 99% to 75 % Districts – 4Marks</i></p> <p><i>For >50 - 75 % Districts – 3Marks</i></p> <p><i>For >25 - 50% Districts – 2Marks</i></p> <p><i>For 25% Districts – 1Mark</i></p> <p><i>No 0Mark</i></p>	There was provision to appoint TSI to provide Technical Support to PRIs & ULBs
			2. Per centage of PRIs and ULBs that had received Technical Support for	

2.	Appointment of additional staff as provided in the guidelines.	2. Question 24 of GP /ULB Schedule.	conducting Baseline Survey. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Indicator for effective planning exercise.
		1. Question 44 of GP /ULB Schedule.	(3) Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had appointed additional staff for BRGF. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Indicator of whether the provisions in the guidelines, for improved People's Participation were followed by the Volunteers for community mobilization.
		2. Question 46 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(4) Per centage of PRIs &ULBs which had appointed Volunteers for community mobilization. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
		3. Question 47 of GP/ULB Schedule.	(5) Per centage of PRIs which had appointed Bare-foot Engineers. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Indicator of whether the provisions in the guidelines, for the appointment of Bare- foot Engineers.
		4. Question 14 of GP/ULB Schedule	(6) Per centage of PRIs which had uploaded their action plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> . >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks	Technical Support is necessary to upload the Action

3.	Technical support from higher tiers (Based on Intermediate and Zilla Parishad questionnaire)		>25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Plans in <i>Plan Plus</i> . And it should be uploaded as per the directions
		1.Question 43 of IP Schedule (Refer Question No. 84 of Annexure 2)	(7) Whether Block Resource Centre (BRC) had been established or not? <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No – 0Mark</i>	There was a provision to establish BRCs.
		1. Question 14 of Intermediate Panchayat Schedule(Refer Question No.6 of Annexure 2)	(8) Whether Intermediate Panchayats provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats. <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No – 0Mark</i>	Intermediate Panchayats have their own Technical Staff it is expected to provide to GP.
		2. Question 14 of Zilla Parishad Schedule.(Question No. 9 of Annexure 2)	(9)Whether the Zilla Parishad provided Technical Support to Gram Panchayats <i>Yes – 1Mark</i> <i>No – 0Mark</i>	Zilla Panchayats have their own Technical Staff and it is expected to provide to GP.

Source: The table was constructed by the Centre for Rural Management (CRM),Kottayam, Kerala in consultation with the domain experts

The maximum and minimum marks that can be scored by a State are 33 and 0, respectively. The overall weightage of this parameter is 2.5. A State's score is calculated by dividing the marks obtained with the Maximum Mark (33) and then multiplying the result with 2.5.

Table No.A1.4: Assessment of whether BRGF contributed to:

- a) The improvement in performance and delivery of critical functions assigned to Panchayats and ULBs and,
- b) Counter possible efficiency and equity losses owing to inadequate local capacity.

Sl. No.	Indicators	Reference	Print-in Questionnaire for PRIs	Rationale
1.	Whether Gram Sabhas actively participated In planning processes.	1, Question. 15a of GP/ULB Schedule.	1.Per centage of PRIs &ULBs where Felt Needs were Identified in the Gram Sabhas. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	Participation of Gram Sabhas in planning and implementation may be considered as an indicator of the improved performance of PRIs.
		2. Question. 15c of GP Schedule.	2.Per centage of PRIs & ULBs where the Action Plan was approved by Gram Sabhas. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
		3. Question 15d of GP/ULB Schedule.	3. Per centage of PRIs where Prioritization of schemes was done in the Gram Sabha. >75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark	
			4.Per centage of PRIs which had conducted Baseline Surveys for BRGF plan preparation.	It may be considered as an indicator of the PRIs' attempt

2.	Whether Baseline Surveys were conducted and survey forms consolidated.	1. Question 22 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	towards identifying gaps in development.
		2. Question 24 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>5. Per centage of PRI s where Baseline Survey Information was consolidated.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
3.	Whether plans were prepared and designed to bridge critical gaps and meet Millennium Development Goals.		6. Per centage of PRIs which had prepared plans to Bridge the Gaps identified.	May be considered as an indicator of the PRIs' attempt to improve critical service delivery.
		1. Question 27 of GP /ULB Schedule.	<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
		2. Question 28 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>7. Per centage of PRIs which had made plans relating to Millennium Development Goals.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
	Whether PRIs	1. Question 53 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>8. Per centage of Gram Panchayats which had maintained an Asset Register</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p>	May be considered as an indicator of improved performance

4.	have maintained an Asset Register and an assessment of the quality of the PRIs' Record Keeping.		<p>>10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
		2.Question 59 of GP /ULB Schedule.	<p>9. Quality of Records Kept.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
5.	Improvement in the performance of PRIs	1.Question 57 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>10. Per centage of PRIs which had improved their Capacity in Planning as a result of BRGF.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	Indicator of improved performance
6.	Improvement in the delivery of critical functions	1. Question 42 of GP/ULB Schedule.	<p>11. Per centage of Projects on Drinking Water.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	As per the provisions of the 73 rd Amendment to the Constitution, PRIs and Municipalities are to prepare plans for Economic Development and Social Justice. Accordingly, functions like - drinking water, street lighting, avoidance of water logging, garbage disposal, women and child welfare, health education, infrastructure development- have been
			<p>12. Per centage of Projects on Streetlight.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks >49-75% -4 Marks >25-49% -3 Marks >10-25% -2 Marks 1-10% -1 Mark <1 -0 Mark</p>	
			<p>13. Per centage of Projects on Drainage.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p>	

2.Question 42 of GP Schedule		<p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	transferred to the PRIs.
	14. Per centage of Projects on Panchayat Bhawan.	<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	Preparation and implementation of projects in these subjects may be considered as improvement of PRIs' service delivery governance
	15. Per centage of Projects on Garbage Disposal.		
		<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
	16. Per centage of projects on Public Toilet / Toilet Projects.		
		<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
	17. Per centage of Projects on Health.		
		<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>	
	18. Per centage of Projects on Anganwadi and Women and Child Welfare.		

		<p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
		<p>19. Per centage of Projects on Burial/Crematorium</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
		<p>20. Per centage of Projects on Road.</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>
		<p>21. Per centage of Projects on School</p> <p>>75-100%-5 Marks</p> <p>>49-75% -4 Marks</p> <p>>25-49% -3 Marks</p> <p>>10-25% -2 Marks</p> <p>1-10% -1 Mark</p> <p><1 -0 Mark</p>

Source: The table was constructed by the Centre for Rural Management (CRM), Kottayam, Kerala in consultation with the domain experts

Maximum marks and minimum marks that can be scored by a State are 105 and 0, respectively. The overall value provided for this parameter is 2.5. The score of a State is calculated by dividing the marks obtained with Maximum Mark (105) and then multiplying the result with 2.5.